CONSULTANT EVALUATION REPORT

i

Country : Bosnia and Herzegovina

Project Name: Financial Audit Services *Loan/Credit No.* BA-MFT-Audit WB-2020

Title of Consulting Services: Financial Audit Services and Preparation of Financial Audit Report for FY 2020

Date of Submission: 4 December 2020

Contents

Section I. Technica	al Evaluation Report—Text	1
Section II. Technica	al Evaluation Report—Forms	5
Form IIA.	Technical Evaluation - Basic Data	6
Form IIB.	Evaluation Summary	11
	Individual Evaluations—Comparison	

Section I	II. Annexe	98	15
А	nnex I(i).	Individual Evaluations	16
		Individual Evaluations—Key Personnel	
А	nnex III.	Minutes of Public Opening of Technical Proposals	44
А	nnex IV.	Request for Proposals	45
А	nnex V.	Miscellaneous Annexes—Ad Hoc	46

Section I. Technical Evaluation Report—Text

1. Background The Bosnia and Herzegovina has received a credit / grants from the World Bank for various projects under Bosnia and Herzegovina Reconstruction Program toward cost of Financial audit Services and Preparation of Financial Audit Reports for FY 2020 for 11 projects in the portfolio.

The objective of the audit of Project Financial Statements is to enable the auditor to express a professional opinion on the financial position of projects at the end of each fiscal year or close of the project and of the funds received and expenditures for the accounting project as reported by Statement of Expenditures, Special account, Project Financial Statement. The auditors are requested to give opinion on Project Management Reports, prepared during FY. The audit should be carried out in accordance with International Standards of Auditing and should include all necessary test and controls as the auditor considers necessary under circumstances.

The project accounts should provide the basis for preparation PFS and PMR and are established to reflect the financial transactions in respect of the project, as maintained by the project implementing agency. PFS should include:

- a) Summary of funds received, showing the WB, project funds from other donors, counterpart and separately;
- b) Summary of Expenditures shown under main project headlines and by main categories of expenditures;
- c) Balance sheet showing Accumulated Funds of the project, bank, balances, other assets of the project.
- d) Statements of Loan withdrawals
- e) Special and Project Accounts Statements, including reconciliation with WB loan disbursements.

Special Account statements and the auditor's report should be referenced with Project Management Reports.

2. The Selection The evaluation committee prepared the short list with 6 (six) **Process** (Prior to companies eligible for audit under the World Bank's procedure, to obtain the World Bank's "No objection". After the World Bank "No Technical **Evaluation**) objection" all six companies are invited to express their interest to participate in selection process. Four (4) firms expressed their interest requested to prepare their proposals. Deloitte d.o.o. Banja Luka informed us that they will not participate in selection process, and Grant Thornton d.o.o. Banja Luka didn't send information or proposal. To the indicated deadline four (4) companies answer on RFP and send their offers on a proper way. Method of selection is Least-Cost. The first phase was terminated by opening of technical offers by selection committee and after that committee start to

1

evaluate technical proposals in accordance with established method of selection and criteria.

3. Technical Evaluation
 The evaluation committee is formed by conclusion of Ministry of Finance and Treasury of Bosnia and Herzegovina and consists of three members from the Ministry and two members from two Entities. After its constitutions Committee have a several activities:

- First: the Ministry has sent proposal for short listed consultants to the World Bank, to create short list
- Second: the Ministry has received the World Bank's "No objection" to the proposed short listed consultants and creating the RFP
- Third: RFP is sent to the short listed consultants firms
- Fourth: Committee opened technical proposals
- Fifth: Evaluation of received technical proposals and finalized technical evaluation report.

The Committee evaluate the technical proposals based on criterion stipulated in the RFP documents, and also in accordance with the Guidelines: Selection and Employment of Consultants by Borrowers issued from the World Bank January 2011, revised July 2014. Conclusion of Committee is that the technical proposals are in compliance with RFP.

All consultants have minimum qualifying score of 70 points.

Highlight strengths and weaknesses of each proposal (most important part of the report).

(a) <u>Strengths</u>:

PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: Excellent experienced company with significant local experience. Proposed methodology is excepted and successfully applied to the project and local circumstances. Staff is experienced, both international and local on WB projects in Bosnia and Herzegovina

Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: Excellent experienced company with significant local experience. Proposed methodology is excepted and successfully applied to the project and local circumstances. Staff is experienced in auditing job, local on WB projects in Bosnia and Herzegovina

KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju, Bosnia and Herzegovina:

Very good experienced company with relevant experience.

Significant understanding of the task and proposed methodology can be successfully applied to the project and local circumstances. Staff is experienced in auditing job who have very good educational background.

Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: Excellent experienced company with significant local experience. Proposed methodology is excepted and successfully applied to the project and local circumstances. Staff is experienced, both international and local on WB projects in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

(b) <u>Weaknesses</u>:

PricewaterHouse Coopers d.o.o. Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No known weaknesses.

Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No known weaknesses.

KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No known weaknesses.

Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: No known weaknesses.

Comment on individual evaluators' scores (discrepancies). No comments.

Section II. Technical Evaluation Report—Forms

Form IIA. Technical Evaluation—Basic Dat	ta
--	----

- Form IIB. Evaluation Summary—Technical Scores/Ranking
- Form IIC. Individual Evaluations—Comparison (Average Scores)

2.1 Name of country Bosnia and Herzegovina Name of Project Financial Audit Service Preparation of Financial Audit Reports for FY 2020 2.2 Client: Ministry of Finance and Treasury (a) name Department for Relations with Financial (b) address, phone, facsimile Institutions Trg BiH 1, 71 000 Sarajevo Bosnia and Herzegovina Tel./ Fax. (+387 33 703-082/ 203-601) 2.3 Type of assignment (pre-investment, Financial Statements is to enable the auditor to preparation, or implementation), and express a professional opinion on the financial brief description of sources position of the project at the end of each fiscal year or close project, and the funds received and expenditures. The auditors are requested to give opinion on PMR 's during fiscal year. The audit will be carried out in accordance with International Standard of Auditing and will include such tests and controls as the auditor considers necessary under the circumstances. QCBS ____Quality-Based ____Fixed-Budget ___Least-Cost X 2.4 Method of selection: Qualifications ____ Single-Source ____ 2.5 Prior review thresholds: (a) Full prior review N/A (b) Simplified prior review (notice)

Form IIA. Technical Evaluation - Basic Data

2.6	 Request for expressions of interest: (a) publication in <i>United Nations</i> <i>Development Business</i> (UNDB) (b) publication in national newspaper(s) 	a) Yes No <u>X</u> b) Yes No <u>X</u>
2.7	Shortlist: (a) names/nationality of firms/associations (mark domestic firms and firms that had expressed interest)	 PricewaterHouse Coopers d.o.o Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. – Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju, Bosnia and Herzegovina Deloitte d.o.o. – Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
	(b) Submission to the Bank for no- objection(c) Bank's no-objection	Date December 4, 2020 Date December 4, 2020
2.8	 Request for Proposals: (a) submission to the Bank for no- objection (b) Bank's no-objection (c) issuance to Consultants 	Date September 16, 2020 Date October 19, 2020 Date October 20, 2020
2.9	Amendments and clarifications to the RFP (describe)	<u>No</u>
2.10	Contract: (a) Bank Standard Time-Based	
	(b) Bank Standard Lump Sum	b) Yes Price adjustment: No
(c) other (describe)	
2.11	Pre-proposal conference: (a) minutes issued	Yes No X Yes No X

2.12	Proposal submission:	
	(a) two envelopes (technical and financial proposals)	a) Yes Date: November 20, 2020 Time: 12,00 hrs at local time
2.13	Submission of Financial Proposal	Location: Ministry of Finance and Treasury Department for Relations with Financial Institutions Trg BiH 1, 71000 Sarajevo Bosnia and Herzegovina
2.14	Opening of Technical Proposals by selection committee	Date: November 20,2020 Time 12,00 hrs at local time
2.15	Number of proposals submitted	4 (Four)
2.16	Evaluation committee: Members' names and titles (normally three to five)	 Biljana Tabaković, president Dario Tolić, member, Jadranka Jogunčić, member, Slađana Rajković Stanić, member, Tonka Kozarić, member.
2.17	Proposal validity period (days):(a) original expiration date(b) extension(s), if any	Date: February 20, 2021 Extension: - Proposal must remain valid 90 days after the submitting date
2.18	Evaluation Criteria/subcriteria: (a) Consultants' experience (i) (ii) N/A	Weight: 10 Weight: 0
	(b) Methodology (i) (ii) N/A	Weight: 30 Weight: 0

(c) Key staff 60 (i) individual(s)		
(A) Team Leader	Weight	20
(B) Senior Financial Specialist	Weight	20
(C) Financial Specialist	Weight	20
(ii) group(s)		
(A) General qualifications	Weight	20%
(B) Adequacy for the	Weight	70%
assignment		
(C) Experience in region and language	Weight	10%
(d) training (optional)	Weight:	0
(i) N/A	Weight:	0
(ii) N/A	-	
(e) local input (optional)	Weight:	0
(i) N/A	Weight:	
	C	

2.19 Technical scores by Consultant Minimum qualifying score 70

Consultants' names	Technical scores
1. PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o. – Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina	83,6
2. Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. – Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina	85,96
3. KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju, Bosnia and Herzegovina	88,86
4. Deloitte d.o.o. – Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina	89,67

2.20 Evaluation report:

(a) submission to the Bank for no- Date: December 4, 2020 objection

2.21 Evaluation notice:

(a) submission to the Bank:

Date: _____

Form IIB. Evaluation Summary

Consultants' names	PricewaterhouseCoopers	Baker Tilly Re Opinion	KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju	Deloitte d.o.o.
Criteria	Scores	Scores	Scores	Scores
Specific experience	8	9	8,4	9,8
Methodology	26,6	26,2	29,2	28,8
Key staff	49	50,76	51,26	51,07
Total score ^a	83,6	85,96	88,86	89,67
Rank	4	3	2	1

Consultants' Names	Pricew	vaterhouse	Coopers	Bake	r Tilly Re O _l	pinion	KPI	MG B-H d.o. reviziju	.o. za		Deloitte d.o.o).
Criteria	A	AVa	В									
	С	D	E									
	8		10	8		10	9		10	10		10
Specific experience		8			9			8,4			9,8	
	9	5	8	9	10	8	9	5	9	9	10	10
	27		30	28		30	28		30	27		30
Methodology		26,6			26,2			29,2			28,8	
	28	20	28	28	20	25	28	30	30	27	30	30
	55,60		48,2	57,80		46,8	58,40		47	56,40	51,07	47,4
Key staff	52,6	49 41	47,6	54,8	50,76 43	53,4	56,8	51,26 44	50,08	54,12	31,07 46,2	51,24
Total		83,6			85,96			88,86			89,67	

Form IIC. Individual Evaluations—Comparison

NOTE:

Please see the Preface.

For contracts above a threshold indicated in the Loan Agreement and requiring the Bank's no-objection of the technical evaluation report, <u>financial proposals must not be opened</u> before the Borrower has received such no-objection. The technical evaluation (technical scores in particular) cannot be changed following the opening of the financial proposals.

Annexes

Annex I. Individual Evaluations

Form V Annex I(i). Individual Evaluations

Form V Annex I(ii). Individual Evaluations—Key Personnel

Annex II. Information Data Monitoring

Annex III Request for Proposals

Annex IV. Miscellaneous Annexes-Ad Hoc

Consultant's name:	PricewaterhouseCoopers	s d.o.o. Sarajevo
--------------------	------------------------	-------------------

			Evaluators				
Criteria/Sub-Criteria	Maximum	1	2	3	4	5	Average
	Scores						Scores
Specific Experience	10	8	10	9	5	8	8
Methodology	30	27	30	28	20	28	26,6
Key Staff	60	55,60	48,2	52,6	41	47,6	49
Emmanuel Koenig	20	19,20	17,2	19	15	17	17,48
Mirza Bihorac	20	19,40	16,2	17,6	14	15,4	16,52
Anesa Ustavdić	20	17,00	14,8	16	12	15,2	15
Total	100	90,60	88,2	89,6	66	83,6	83,6

a. If specified in the RFP

1. Evaluator's Name: Biljana Tabaković

2. Evaluator's Name: Dario Tolić

3. Evaluator's Name: Jadranka Jogunčić

4. Evaluator's Name: Slađana Rajković Stanić

5. Evaluator's Name: Tonka Kozarić

Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020
Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020
Signature:	Date:03.12.2020
Signature:	_ Date:03.12.2020

Consultant's name: Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo

			Evaluators				
Criteria/Sub-Criteria	Maximum	1	2	3	4	5	Average
	Scores						Scores
Specific Experience	10	8	10	9	10	8	9
Methodology	30	28	30	28	20	25	26,2
Key Staff	60	55,80	46,8	54,8	43	53,4	50,76
Nihad Fejzić	20	19	17,6	18,4	17	18	18
Ezita Imamović	20	18,40	15,6	18,2	14	17,8	16,8
Elma Mešanović	20	18,40	13,6	18,2	12	17,6	15,96
Total	100	91,80	86,8	91,80	73	86,4	85,96

a. If specified in the RFP

1. Evaluator's Name: Biljana Tabaković

2. Evaluator's Name: Dario Tolić

3. Evaluator's Name: Jadranka Jogunčić

4. Evaluator's Name: Slađana Rajković Stanić

5. Evaluator's Name: Tonka Kozarić

Date:03.12.2020.
Date: 03.12.2020
Date:03.12.2020.
Date:03.12.2020

Consultant's name: KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju

	Evaluators						
Criteria/Sub-Criteria	Maximum Scores	1	2	3	4	5	Average Scores
Specific Experience	10	9	10	9	5	9	8,4
Methodology	30	28	30	28	30	30	29,2
Key Staff	60	58,40	47	56,8	44	50,08	51,26
Vedran Vukotić	20	19,80	17,6	19,21	16	17,6	18,04
Domagoj Hrkać	20	19,40	15,8	18,8	14	18	17,2
Mirna Sefo	20	19,20	13,6	18,8	14	15,2	16,16
Total	100	95,40	87	93,8	79	89,08	88,86

a. If specified in the RFP

1. Evaluator's Name: Biljana Tabaković

2. Evaluator's Name: Dario Tolić

3. Evaluator's Name: Jadranka Jogunčić

4. Evaluator's Name: Slađana Rajković Stanić

5. Evaluator's Name: Tonka Kozarić

Signature:	Date:03.12.2020.
Signature:	Date:03.12.2020.
Signature:	Date:03.12.2020
Signature:	Date:03.12.2020

			Evaluators				
Criteria/Sub-Criteria	Maximum Scores	1	2	3	4	5	Average Scores
Specific Experience	10	10	10	9	10	10	9
Methodology	30	27	30	27	30	30	28
Key Staff	60	56,40	47,4	54,12	46,2	51,24	51,
Sabina Softić	12	11,76	11,4	11,16	11,4	11,88	11,
Olivera Andrijašević	12	10,92	9	10,44	7,2	10,08	9,
Aleksandar Arizanov	12	11,88	9	11,40	9,6	11,04	10,
Adna Valjevac	12	11,16	9	10,68	8,4	9,12	9,
Davor Džajić	12	10,68	9	10,44	9,6	9,12	9,
Total	100	93,40	87,4	90,12	86,2	91,24	89,

Consultant's name: Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo

1. Evaluator's Name: Biljana Tabaković

2. Evaluator's Name: Dario Tolić

3. Evaluator's Name: Jadranka Jogunčić

4. Evaluator's Name: Slađana Rajković Stanić

5. Evaluator's Name: Tonka Kozarić

Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020.
Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Emmanuel Koenig	20	19	67	10	96	19,20
Mirza Bihorac	20	19	70	8	97	19,40
Anesa Ustavdić	20	18	60	7	85	17,00
Total	60					55,60

Name of Evaluator: Biljana Tabaković	Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020.
--------------------------------------	------------	-------------------

Consultant's Name: Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum Scores	General Qualifications	Adequacy for the	Experience in Region	Total Marks	Scores
			Assignment	U		
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Nihad Fejzić	20	19	68	8	95	19,00
Ezita Imamović	20	18	67	7	92	18,40
Elma Mešanović	20	18	67	7	92	18,40
Total	60					55,80

Name of Evaluator: Biljana Tabaković	Signature:	Date:03.12.2020.
--------------------------------------	------------	------------------

Consultant's Name: KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Vedran Vukotić	20	19	70	10	99	19,80
Domagoj Hrkać	20	19	68	10	97	19,40
Mirna Sefo	20	20	68	8	96	19,20
Total	60					58,4

Name of Evaluator: Biljana Tabaković	Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020.
--------------------------------------	------------	-------------------

Consultant's Name: Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
			(70)h	(10)b	(100)	
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Sabina Softić	12	19	69	10	98	11,76
Olivera Andrijašević	12	17	65	9	91	10,92
Aleksandar Arizanov	12	19	70	10	99	11,88
Adna Valjevac	12	18	67	8	93	11,16
Davor Džajić	12	17	65	7	89	10,68
Total	60					56,40

Name of Evaluator: Biljana Tabaković Signature: _____ Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy for	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Emmanuel Koenig	20	20	60	6	86	17,2
Mirza Bihorac	20	18	55	8	81	16,2
Anesa Ustavdić	20	16	50	8	74	14,8
Total						48,2

Name of Evaluator: Dario TolićSignature:Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy for	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Nihad Fejzić	20	20	60	8	88	17,6
Ezita Imamović	20	15	55	8	78	15,6
Elma Mešanović	20	10	50	8	68	13,6
Total						46,8

Name of Evaluator: Dario TolićSignature:Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy for	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b	-			
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Vedran Vukotić	20	20	60	8	88	17,6
Domagoj Hrkać	20	15	55	9	79	15,8
Mirna Sefo	20	10	50	8	68	13,6
Total						47

Name of Evaluator: Dario Tolić Signature: _____ Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy for	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Sabina Softić	12	12	40	5	57	11,4
Olivera Andrijašević	12	10	30	5	45	9
Aleksandar Arizanov	12	10	30	5	45	9
Adna Valjevac	12	10	30	5	45	9
Davor Džajić	12	10	30	5	45	9
Total						47,4

Name of Evaluator: **Dario Tolić** Signature: _____ Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Emmanuel Koenig	20	19	67	9	95	19
Mirza Bihorac	20	17	65	6	88	17,6
Anesa Ustavdić	20	14	60	6	80	16
Total	60					52,6

Name of Evaluator: Jadranka Jogunčić	Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020.
--------------------------------------	------------	-------------------

Consultant's Name: Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
		(20)	(70)	(10)	(100)	
Nihad Fejzić	20	18	69	5	92	18,4
Ezita Imamović	20	18	68	5	91	18,2
Elma Mešanović	20	18	68	5	91	18,2
Total	60					54,8

Name of Evaluator: Jadranka Jogunčić	Signature:	Date:03.12.2020.
--------------------------------------	------------	------------------

Consultant's Name: KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the Assignment	in Region	Marks	
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Vedran Vukotić	20	19	69	8	96	19,2
Domagoj Hrkać	20	18	68	8	94	18,8
Mirna Sefo	20	19	68	7	94	18,8
Total	60					56,8

Name of Evaluator: Jadranka Jogunčić	Signature:	Date: 03.12.2020.
--------------------------------------	------------	-------------------

Consultant's Name: Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(a c) h	(To b	(re)h	(100)	
		(20) ^b	(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Sabina Softić	12	18	69	6	93	11,16
Olivera Andrijašević	12	17	65	5	87	10,44
Aleksandar Arizanov	12	18	69	8	95	11,40
Adna Valjevac	12	17	66	6	89	10,68
Davor Džajić	12	17	65	5	87	10,44
Total	60					54,12

Name of Evaluator: Jadranka Jogunčić Signature: _____ Date: 03.12.2020.

Consultant's Name: PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Emmanuel Koenig	20	20	50	5	75	15
Mirza Bihorac	20	10	50	10	70	14
Anesa Ustavdić	20	10	40	10	60	12
Total	60					41

Name of Evaluator: Slađana Rajković Stanić

Consultant's Name: Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Nihad Fejzić	20	15	60	10	85	17
Ezita Imamović	20	10	50	10	70	14
Elma Mešanović	20	10	40	10	60	12
Total	60					43

Name of Evaluator: Slađana Rajković Stanić

Consultant's Name: KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Vedran Vukotić	20	20	50	10	80	16
Domagoj Hrkać	20	10	50	10	70	14
Mirna Sefo	20	10	50	10	70	14
Total	60					44

Name of Evaluator: Slađana Rajković Stanić

Consultant's Name: Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20) ^b				
			(70) ^b	(10) ^b	(100)	
Sabina Softić	12	20	65	10	95	11.4
Olivera Andrijašević	12	10	40	10	60	7.2
Aleksandar Arizanov	12	10	60	10	80	9.6
Adna Valjevac	12	10	50	10	70	8.4
Davor Džajić	12	10	60	10	80	9.6
Total	60					46.2

Name of Evaluator: Slađana Rajković Stanić

Consultant's Name: PricewaterhouseCoopers d.o.o. Sarajevo

Scores	Qualifications	for the			
		for the	in Region	Marks	
		Assignment			
	(20)b				
		70 b	10 b	(100)	
20	18	60	7	85	17
20	17	55	5	77	15,4
20	16	55	5	76	15,2
60					47,6
		54 .1		10	1 . 10
	20 20	20 18 20 17 20 16 60 11	20 18 60 20 17 55 20 16 55 60	70 b 10 b 20 18 60 7 20 17 55 5 20 16 55 5 60	70 b 10 b (100) 20 18 60 7 85 20 17 55 5 77 20 16 55 5 76 60 Characteristic

Name of Evaluator: Tonka Kozarić

Signature: 12. 2020. 9.

Consultant's Name: Baker Tilly Re Opinion d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20)b		(10)1		
			(70)b	(10)b	100)	
Nihad Fejzić	20	20	65	5	90	18
Ezita Imamović	20	19	65	5	89	17,8
Elma Mešanović	20	18	65	5	88	17,6
Total	60					53,4
Name of Evaluator: To	1ka Kozarić	Signature: -	Thrasic	Date:	02.12.2	1020.g.

Consultant's Name: KPMG B-H d.o.o. za reviziju

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20)b	(70 b	(10)b	(100)	
Vedran Vukotić	20	18	65	5	88	17.6
Domagoj Hrkać	20	18	65	7	90	18
Mirna Sefo	20	16	55	5	76	15,2
Total	60					50,8
Name of Evaluator: To	onka Kozario	é Signature: -	Therasie	Date:	02.12.	2020.g

Consultant's Name: Deloitte d.o.o. Sarajevo

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum	General	Adequacy	Experience	Total	Scores
	Scores	Qualifications	for the	in Region	Marks	
			Assignment			
		(20)b				
			(70 b		(100)	
Sabina Softić	12	20	70	9	99	11,88
Olivera Andrijašević	12	18	60	6	84	10,08
Aleksandar Arizanov	12	19	65	8	92	11,04
Adna Valljevac	12	16	55	5	76	9,12
Davor Džajić	12	16	55	5	76	9,12
Total	60					51,24
		Ċ	The mail		02.12.	010 0
Name of Evaluator: T	onka Kozarić	Signature	1 Nue un	Date:	UL. 16. A	- val g

Name of Evaluator: Tonka Kozarić Signature: –

39

Annex III. Minutes of Public Opening of Technical Proposals¹

MINUTES

[The minutes should indicate the names of the participants in the proposal opening session, the proposal prices, discounts, technical scores, and any details that the Client, at its discretion, may consider appropriate.

All attendees must sign the Minutes.]

¹ Annex III applies to QCBS, Fixed-Budget, and Least-Cost.

Annex IV. Request for Proposals²

[A Standard Request for Proposals must be used for World Bank-financed contracts in excess of US\$200,000. The Bank also recommends the use of the Standard Request for Proposals document for smaller contracts to simplify its prior review (i.e., when the Borrower cannot issue the document without the Bank's no-objection). The Standard Request for Proposals is available on the Bank's Internet site (http://www.worldbank.org/html/opr/procure/conspage.html) and in the Bank InfoShop at the following address:

The World Bank InfoShop 701 18th Street, N.W. Rm. J 1-060 Washington, D.C. 20433, U.S.A. 1.202.458-5454 books@worldbank.org].

² Annex IV applies to all selection procedures (The Bank Standard Request for Proposals may be used for Qualifications and Single-Source, with appropriate modifications).

Annex V. Miscellaneous Annexes—Ad Hoc